Integer Programming

Lecture 17

Column Generation

- The cutting plane method can be viewed as a technique for solving integer linear programs with a large number of constraints.

- In the context of integer programming, these large LPs arise as (partial) descriptions of the convex hull of feasible solutions to an integer program.

- *Column generation*, on the other hand, is a method for solving LPs with a large number of potential columns.

- Theoretically, this is nothing more than a cutting plane method applied to the dual linear program, but it is useful to consider the method separately.

- When column generation is combined with branch and bound, we obtain a method called *branch and price*.

Formulations Involving Many Columns

- Formulations involving many columns can arise in a number of different ways.

  - Applying a decomposition method, such as Dantzig-Wolfe, to an existing formulation results in a reformulation with many columns.
  - Extended formulations can arise through some other reformulation technique that lifts the problem to a higher-dimensional space (lot-sizing).
  - Formulations with many columns may be the "natural" formulation for some problems.

- Even when we start natively with a formulation that has an exponential number of columns, there is often an underlying "compact formulation".

- Typically, we have a way of writing down the set of columns as the feasible set of a mathematical program of polynomial size.

- In such a case, we can often reformulate the problem in this lower-dimensional space.

Basic Idea of Solution Method

- We solve the LP to optimality using simplex with only a subset of the columns.

- We then ask whether any column that has been left out has positive reduced cost—if so, that column is added and we reoptimize.

- The problem of determining the column with most positive reduced cost is an optimization problem.

- This is called the *column generation subproblem*.

Generic Column Generation Algorithm

- We are interested in solving an LP with a large number of columns.

- For simplicity, we assume the LP is in standard form.

- Consider the *restricted problem* obtained by considering only the subset of the columns indexed by set I.

$$[lrcl]\max \quad \sum_{i \in I} c_i x_i$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{i \in I} A_i x_i = b \qquad \text{(RMP)}$$

$$x \geq 0$$

- Solve this LP and calculate the optimal dual solution u.

- Now generate a new column A_j for which $c_j - c_B B^{-1} A_j = c_j - u A_j > 0$.

- This can be done by solving the *column generation subproblem*

$$\max_{a \in C} c_a - u a,$$

where C is the global set of columns.

Overall Algorithm

1: Generate initial columns and add them to the restricted set I_0.

2: $k \leftarrow 0$, $\bar{c}_{\max} \leftarrow \infty$

3: **while** $\bar{c}_{\max} > 0$ **do**

4:     Solve the *restricted master problem*

$$[lrcl] \max \quad \sum_{i \in I_k} c_i x_i$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{i \in I_k} A_i x_i = b \quad \quad \text{(RMP)}$$

$$x \geq 0$$

    to obtain the dual solution u^k.

5:     Solve the *column-generation subproblem* to obtain

$$a^* \in \text{argmax}_{a \in C} \, c_a - u^k a,$$

    and set $\bar{c}_{\max} = c_{a^*} - u^k a^*$

6:     **if** $\bar{c}_{\max} > 0$ **then**

7:         $I_{k+1} \leftarrow I_k \cup \{a^*\}$

8:     **end if**

9:     $k \leftarrow k + 1$

10: **end while**

Example: The Cutting Stock Problem

- The cutting stock problem was one of the first applications of column generation.

- We are selling rolls of paper in specified widths w_i, $i = 1, \ldots, m$.

- For each width i, we have a given demand d_i that must be satisfied.

- There are large rolls from which the smaller rolls are cut with width W.

- We want to minimize the total number of larger rolls we need to use.

- An IP formulation of this problem is

$$min \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i$$

$$s.t. \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i a^i \geq d$$

$$\lambda_i \geq 0, i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

$$\lambda_i \quad \text{integer}, i = 1, \ldots, n$$

where the columns a^i represent the *feasible patterns*.

Pattern Generation for Cutting Stock

- The potential columns correspond to feasible *patterns*.

- A given column vector a corresponds to a feasible pattern if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i a_i \leq W$$

  and a contains only non-negative integers.

- The objective function coefficient of every pattern (column) is 1.

- Finding the column with the smallest reduced cost is a knapsack problem:

$$\max \sum_{i=1}^{m} u_i a_i$$
$$s.t. \ \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i a_i \leq W$$
$$a_i \geq 0$$
$$a_i \quad \text{integer}$$

Example: Set Partitioning Models

- Recall the set partitioning problem.

- In this problem, A is a 0-1 matrix and we wish to find

$$\min\{cx \mid Ax = 1, x \in \mathbb{B}^n\}$$

- Examples of Set Partitioning Models

  - Airline Crew Scheduling
  - Winner Determination in Combinatorial Auctions
  - Vehicle Routing

- Note that in each case, the columns have to satisfy a particular structure that defines the *column generation subproblem*.

- One advantage of these formulations is that we can implicitly introduce constraints that are otherwise difficult to model.

Generating Initial Columns

- One aspect that is very different from a typical cutting plane method is that we do not necessarily have a feasible linear program to begin with.

- In fact, we may not even have a feasible relaxation.

- We need to generate some initial columns.

- How this is done has a big affect on the effectiveness of the overall algorithm.

- Options

  - Use knowledge of problem structure to generate solutions heuristically.
  - Use problem structure to generate a set of solutions guaranteed to be feasible.
  - Solve the subproblem with randomly perturbed objectives.
  - Do a few iterations of Lagrangian relaxation.
  - Use the generic phase 1 (discussed next).

A Generic Algorithm for Phase I

- The initial set of columns may not yield a feasible relaxation.

- The proof of infeasibility is a dual ray with negative cost that can serve as an objective in finding the next column.

- Essentially, we want to find a new column that "cuts" this dual ray.

- This process continues until the relaxation is feasible.

- Alternatively, we can add artificial variables to ensure feasibility.

- These options mirror the ones we have in standard linear programming.

Using Heuristic Methods to Solve the Subproblem

- Technically, all that is needed in each iteration is *some* column with positive reduced cost.

- It may not matter if the entering column is the one with the *most* positive reduced cost.

- We can thus use a quick and dirty heuristic method to generate columns initially.

- We can stop anytime after we find a column with positive reduced cost.

- Note, however, that we do not get a true bound in this case.

Stabilization

- One of the well-known difficulties with column generation is the slow convergence.

- This can sometimes be due to wild fluctuations in the dual solution.

- There are a number of techniques for dealing with this phenomena.

  - Artificially bounding the dual variables.
  - Penalizing changes in the dual solution.
  - Trust region method.
  - Weighted Dantzig-Wolfe.
  - Using an interior point method to solve the RMP.

- These methods can have a big impact in practice.